

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Reproduction of this test report is only authorised in its entirety.

Results are only valid for the tested sample as received.

The laboratory accepts no liability for any information provided by the customer.

SUBJECT

Comparison of the level of growth of weeds, roots etc. across two different artificial turf surfaces.

STORAGE TIMES

Retention of documents 4 years and samples 1 month after issue of the test report.

SAMPLING

Sampling is carried out by the customer.

LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE OF THE TESTS

The tests are carried out at Labosport Italia Srl premises.

APPLICANT

SAFITEX TURF SRL Company name Address

Via Opifici, 50

24024 Gandino (BG)

Nation Italia

ACQUISITION DATA

Order received on February 07th 2024

First sample received on February 01st 2024

Last sample received on February 01st 2024

May 10th 2024 Beginning of tests

November 6th 2024 Ending of tests

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION (INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE CUSTOMER)

SAMPLE A	Artificial Grass Turf with Lattice Backing
SAMPLE B	Artificial Grass Turf with ECO-NEXT Backing



PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION (INFORMATION DETECTED BY THE LABORATORY)

SAMPLE APrimary backing LATEX

SAMPLE BPrimary backing ECO-NEXT









TEST RESULTS

Visual assessment of the level of growth of weeds, roots, etc.

SAMPLE APrimary backing LATEX

SAMPLE BPrimary backing ECO-NEXT





ADDITIONS, DIFFERENCES OR EXCLUSIONS FROM THE TEST METHOD

None.

COMMENTS RELATED TO THE TESTS

None.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

None.

CONCLUSIONS

The comparison between the two different types of primary backing in synthetic grass tested highlights the real possibility of weed and similar growth through the drainage holes in the case of a "Latex" primary backing. However, nothing occurs with the "Eco-Next" primary backing type, where no drainage holes are present. The test is reliable as the same seeded substrate was used for both samples, specifically grass seeds on a 10 cm thick layer of potting soil.

	Roberto Armeni
 End of Test Report	